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Introduction

The growing number of publicly available information sources makes it impossible for individuals to keep track of all the various opinions on one topic. The goal of our artificial believer system\(^1\) we present in this paper is to extract and analyze opinionated statements from newspaper articles.

Beliefs are modeled with a fuzzy-theoretic approach applied after NLP-based information extraction. A fuzzy believer models a human agent, deciding what statements to believe or reject based on different, configurable strategies.

Related Work

Existing systems mainly deal with either the extraction of opinions or the identification of entailment between two sentences (see the PASCAL challenges (Dagan, Glickman, & Magnini 2005; Bar-Haim et al. 2006)). Our work combines these previously separated tasks to solve the complex problem of modeling a human newspaper reader.

The extraction of reported speech, together with creating structures based on source and reporter has been the main focus in (Bergler et al. 2004). It also incorporates an algorithm to assign credibility to statements according to the reporting verb used. Our system extends this work to process statements in order to (i) find common topics, (ii) determine their compatibility, and (iii) create beliefs based on heuristics, thereby creating a user agent.

System Overview

Our system extracts reported speech out of newspaper articles and generates beliefs for subsequent processing by the system. The limitation to reported speech allows a clear attribution of statements to a source and enables the system to infer the credibility of a statement using evidential analysis (Bergler 1992). The extracted reported speech structures can then be represented as beliefs attributed to a source with different levels of nesting (Ballim & Wilks 1991).

To identify statements belonging to the same topic, our system extracts predicate-argument structures using the output of parsers. To find the kind of relation between two statements about one topic, we employ fuzzy set theory.

Our fuzzy believer system is implemented in GATE (Cunningham et al. 2002). For preprocessing it uses standard components shipped with GATE. The components we developed comprise: (1) Reported Speech Extraction, (2) Profile Generation, (3) Predicate-Argument Extraction, and (4) Fuzzy Believing. We describe these components below.

Reported Speech Extraction. To extract opinionated statements, we developed a grammar written in JAPE\(^2\) that recognizes 6 different reported speech patterns grouped around 50 verbs often used in reported speech.

Profile Generation. In the next step, we group the statements extracted in the first step by source entities using coreference chains computed by a fuzzy system (Witte & Bergler 2003). E.g., “The president,” “Mr. Reagan,” and “he” may refer to the same entity, so we can assign all statements from these sources to one profile.

Predicate-Argument Extraction. The extracted reported speech statements are parsed by both RASP (Briscoe, Carroll, & Watson 2006) and MiniPar (Lin 1998). According to a set of rules, we extract subject/verb/object triples (predicate-argument structures) from the parsers’ output.\(^3\)

Fuzzy Believer. This component has to fulfill three tasks: (1) Group the statements according to their topics into domains, e.g., all statements about “The President knowing about the diversion,” see Figure 1. (2) Identify the polarity of the statements in each domain, i.e., which statements contradict each other. And (3) implement strategies for what to believe, e.g., trust all newly made statements, hold onto old beliefs, or rely on what the majority believes.

The first task is handled by two heuristics (semantic, based on WordNet\(^4\), and syntactic, based on string similarity) that compare the extracted predicate-argument structures (PAS) of two statements. If the heuristics recognize a similarity degree higher than a given threshold between two statements, they are grouped into one domain (topic).

The second task is solved by using fuzzy set theory and representing all statements as degrees of similarity between the verbs of the statements in one domain. This similarity is again computed using WordNet together with the detection of negations and antonyms.

\(^1\)Java Annotations Patterns Engine, see http://gate.ac.uk/
\(^2\)The triples for the example in Figure 1, extracted from Wall Street Journal articles on the Iran-Contra Affair, are: [president-know-diversion], [president-authorize-diversion], [Reagan-know-diversion], [president-know-diversion], and [Reagan-involved-policy].
\(^3\)WordNet, http://wordnet.princeton.edu
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it needs more and/or better heuristics to obtain significant improvements.

**Polarity Finding.** The data that comes closest to the conditions we need are the entailment pairs of the PASCAL challenge corpus (Bar-Haim et al. 2006). We tested different configurations and computed accuracy for two settings. For one experiment, we included all results in the evaluation counting the entailment pairs that were not grouped into the same domain by the domain classification as non-entailing. Here, the best results were around 55% accuracy. The other test setting only considered the sentence pairs that were actually grouped into the same domain by the domain classification component yielding an accuracy of 58% using MiniPar extracted PASs. More elaborate heuristics could probably increase these numbers, comparable to the PASCAL challenge, where participating systems also started with around 50% accuracy, but improved over the years.

### Conclusions and Future Work

We developed an artificial believer system that can be applied in different scenarios: (1) companies evaluating product reviews on web sites or blogs, (2) governmental organizations interested in dispositions of people, or (3), as we demonstrated here, assist individuals in news analysis.

Apart from the evaluation described above, tests of the system on actual newspaper articles showed accepted and rejected beliefs that reflect the desired results. Embedding the system within an Internet agent and measuring its effectiveness for a real user will be the next major step.
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